IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 2ND MARCH 2007 / 11TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 3990 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

M/S.SUNPRAS ELECTRIC CONTROL PVT.LTD.,
B.G.ROAD, WEST HILL, KOZHIKODE- 673 005,
REPRESENTED BY IS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
K.V.SADANANDAN, AGED 57 YEARS, S/O.LATE RAMAN,
RESIDING AT 38/409, KALLUVETTIL (H), WEST HILL,
KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.A.V.MURALIDHARAN
SRI.C.AJITH KUMAR

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REP.BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE SALES TAX OFFICER, IST CIRCLE,
KOZHIKODE.

3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (APPEALS),
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIAL TAXES, KOZHIKODE.

4. THE INSPECTING ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
SALES TAX, KOZHIKODE.

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. MUHAMMED RAFIQ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02/03/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) NO: 3990/2007

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT ORDER DT. 24/12/2005 FOR THE YEAR 2002-03 ISSUED BY R2.

EXT.P2. COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT ORDER DT. 24/12/2005 FOR THE YEAR 2003-04 ISSUED BY R2.

EXT.P3. COPY OF THE APPEAL MEMORANDUM DT. 25/3/2006 FILED BY THE PETITIONER AGAINST EXT. P1 ORDER.

EXT.P4. COPY OF THE STAY PETITION FILED ALONG WITH EXT. P3.

EXT.P5. COPY OF THE PETITION FOR EMERGENT HEARING DT. 25/3/2006 OF THE EXT. P3 APPEAL.

EXT.P6. COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM DT. 25/3/2006 FILED BY THE PETITIONER AGAINST EXT. P2 ORDER.

EXT.P7. COPY OF THE STAY PETITION FILED ALONG WITH EXT.P6.

EXT.P8. COPY OF THE PETITION FOR EMERGENT HEARING DT. 25/3/2006 OF EXT.P6 APPEAL.

EXT.P9. COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE ISSUED BY THE R4 TO PETITIONER FOR THE ARREARS AS PER EXT.P1.

EXT.P10. COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE ISSUED BY THE R4 TO THE PETITIONER FOR THE ARREARS AS PER EXT.P2.

EXT.P11. COPY OF THE EMERGENCY DISPOSAL DT. 24/1/2007 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE R3.

True copy

tga

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C).No.3990 of 2007-Y

Dated this the 2nd day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the stay petitions Exts.P4 and P7 were considered and disposed of by the third respondent appellate authority and interim order has been granted. The petitioner is dissatisfied with the interim order. If that be so, the petitioner may challenge it. In view of the interim order passed by the Tribunal, no further orders are required in this writ petition. Accordingly, it is closed.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

MS